



#### @BrisCancerE@richard364182@mrc\_ieu

# Why is body fatness important in cancer prevention? Lessons from Mendelian Randomisation

Satellite meeting for the European Congress on Obesity, Dundee

#### 27 April 2019

#### **Richard Martin**





International Agency for Research on Cancer





Cancer research for cancer prevention Global burden of cancer

- 18.1 million new cancers in 2018
- 29.5 million by
  2040
  - IARC Director
  - "...no country can treat its way



### Age-standardised incidence of renal, pancreatic & colorectal cancer 2-4 X higher in central Europe than many parts of Asia



International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) - 18.4.2019

International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) - 18.4.2019

International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) - 18.4.2019

http://gco.iarc.fr/

#### Several cancers rising in successively younger birth

**cohorts, USA** Incidence rate ratio by birth cohort from 1910-19 to 1980-89 for 12 cancers,

1995-2014



Lancet Public Health 2019;4:e137-47

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

#### SPECIAL REPORT

Body Fatness and Cancer — Viewpoint of the IARC Working Group

- Sufficient evidence to conclude obesity/overweight causes cancer on 13 sites
- IARC Working Group:
  - "absence of excess body fatness lower risk of most cancers"
- Why?
  - Conclusive mechanistic evidence largely

Cancer Site or Type	Strength of the Evidence in Humans;	BMI Category Evaluated versus Normal BMI (95% CI);
Esophagus: adenocarcinoma	Sufficient	4.8 (3.0-7.7)
Gastric cardia	Sufficient	1.8 (1.3-2.5)
Colon and rectum	Sufficient	1.3 (1.3–1.4)
Liver	Sufficient	1.8 (1.6-2.1)
Gallbladder	Sufficient	1.3 (1.2–1.4)
Pancreas	Sufficient	1.5 (1.2–1.8)
Breast: postmenopausal	Sufficient	1.1 (1.1−1.2)§
Corpus uteri	Sufficient	7.1 (6.3-8.1)
Ovary	Sufficient	1.1 (1.1–1.2)
Kidney: renal-cell	Sufficient	1.8 (1.7–1.9)
Meningioma	Sufficient	1.5 (1.3–1.8)
Thyroid	Sufficient	1.1 (1.0–1.1)§
Multiple myeloma	Sufficient	1.5 (1.2-2.0)

Kyrgiou M et al. BMJ.

Lauby-Secretan B. et

**Relative Risk of the Highest** 

al. NEJM. 2016

2017

#### **Obesity thought to cause 1/20 cancers (2<sup>nd</sup> only to**

#### tobacco smoke)

% of UK cancers accounted for by smoking & overweight/obesity:

- 2015, overweight & obesity: 6% of all UK cancers; smoking: 15%
- 2035, overweight & obesity: 8% of all UK cancers; smoking: 11%
- 2043, overweight & obesity > smoking in women

Brown et al, BJC 2018;118:1130–1141

https://www.cancerresearchuk.org/about-us/cancer-news/press-release/2018-09-24-obesity-could-overtakesmoking-as-biggest-preventable-cause-of-cancer-in-women-0

ADDRESSING THE PREVENTION OF CANCERS ATTRIBUTED TO EXCESS ADIPOSITY IS A GROWING & GLOBALLY IMPORTANT HEALTH PROBLEM

#### How can genetic approaches help improve the evidence-base for interventions?

- Confirm causal relevance & generate more precise estimates of effect vs single exposure measure (i.e. predict the effect of intervening)
- Identify molecular mechanisms
- Inform targeted public health interventions
- Inform potential drug repurposing (e.g. statins)



#### Mendelian randomization:

Genetic information to improve causal inference in observational epi

Traits inherited independent of each other & future environmental factors





#### **Example: Deprivation & measured BMI**



**UK Biobank** 

### Genetic instrument for BMI: 73 SNPs (GIANT Consortium)



**UK Biobank** 

#### Example: Deprivation & a genetic instrument for BMI



**UK Biobank** 

CONFIRM CAUSAL RELEVANCE & GENERATE MORE PRECISE ESTIMATES OF EFFECT VS SINGLE EXPOSURE MEASURE (I.E. PREDICT THE EFFECT OF INTERVENING)

#### Relative risks for 5-unit BMI increment – Classical cohort studies



# Relative risks for 5-unit BMI increment – Mendelian randomization



- Reducing population adiposity & maintaining fat loss in individuals are difficult
- Could upstream factors or causal intermediates be intervened on to diminish the effects of adiposity?

#### **IDENTIFY MOLECULAR MECHANISMS**

#### Can genetics help untangle the causal pathway?



#### ausal effect estimates of obesity-related risk factors

for RCC

Risk factor	_			OR⁵	P-value
Dbesity and body shape					
3ody mass index		+	+	1.56	6x10 <sup>-25</sup>
Waist to hip ratio				1.63	5x10 <sup>-10</sup>
Body fat %		-	-	1.66	8x10 <sup>-13</sup>
lypertension					
Systolic blood pressure				0.98	0.77
Diastolic blood pressure				1.28	6x10 <sup>-4</sup>
-					
	0.5	1.0	2.0		
		Odds ratio (95 %	6 CI) <sup>ь</sup>		

#### ausal effect estimates of obesity-related risk factors

for RCC

Risk factor	IOF KCC		OR⁵	P-value
Obesity and body shape				
Body mass index		-	1.56	6x10 <sup>-25</sup>
Waist to hip ratio			1.63	5x10 <sup>-10</sup>
Body fat %			1.66	8x10 <sup>-13</sup>
Hypertension				
Systolic blood pressure		-	0.98	0.77
Diastolic blood pressure			1.28	6x10 <sup>-4</sup>
Dyslipidemia				
High density cholesterol	-	-	1.04	0.40
Low density cholesterol	-	-	0.98	0.63
Total cholesterol	+	<u> </u>	1.00	0.93
Triglycerides		_	0.97	0.57
	:	1.0 2.0		
	Odds	ratio (95 % CI) <sup>b</sup>		

## ausal effect estimates of obesity-related risk factors

for RCC

Risk factor				OR⁵	P-value
Obesity and body shape					
Body mass index			+	1.56	6x10 <sup>-25</sup>
Waist to hip ratio				1.63	5x10 <sup>-10</sup>
Body fat %				1.66	8x10 <sup>-13</sup>
Hypertension					
Systolic blood pressure			_	0.98	0.77
Diastolic blood pressure				1.28	6x10 <sup>-4</sup>
Dyslipidemia					
High density cholesterol			H	1.04	0.40
Low density cholesterol		-		0.98	0.63
Total cholesterol		+	-	1.00	0.93
Triglycerides		-		0.97	0.57
Insulin resistance					
Type 2 Diabetes		-+		0.99	0.74
Beta-cell dysfunction		-		0.91	0.03
Insulin resistance		_		1.37	0.04
Others		-	_	1.02	0.70
Fasting glucose			_	0.92	0.43
Fasting insulin				1.82	5x10 <sup>-4</sup>
	0.5				
	0.5	1.0	2.0		

Odds ratio (95 % CI)<sup>b</sup>